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## 1 Scope of the Chapter

This chapter provides routines to solve certain integer programming, transportation and shortest path problems. Additionally 'best subset' routines are included.

## 2 Background to the Problems

General linear programming (LP) problems (see Dantzig (1963)) are of the form:

$$
\text { find } x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \text { to maximize } F(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}
$$

subject to linear constraints which may have the forms:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}=b_{i}, & i=1,2, \ldots, m_{1} & \text { (equality) } \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \leq b_{i}, & i=m_{1}+1, \ldots, m_{2} & \text { (inequality) } \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \geq b_{i}, & i=m_{2}+1, \ldots, m & \\
\text { (inequality) } \\
x_{j} \geq l_{j}, & j=1,2, \ldots, n & \\
x_{j} \leq u_{j}, & j=1,2, \ldots, n & \text { (simple bound) } \\
\text { (simple bound) }
\end{array}
$$

This chapter deals with integer programming (IP) problems in which some or all the elements of the solution vector $x$ are further constrained to be integers. For general LP problems where $x$ takes only real (i.e., noninteger) values, refer to Chapter E04.
IP problems may or may not have a solution, which may or may not be unique.
Consider for example the following problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & 3 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \\
\text { subject to } & 4 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \geq 5 \\
& \\
& x_{1}-2 x_{2} \leq 5 \\
\text { and } & x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

The hatched area in Figure 1 is the feasible region, the region where all the constraints are satisfied, and the points within it which have integer coordinates are circled. The lines of hatching are in fact contours of decreasing values of the objective function $3 x_{1}+2 x_{2}$, and it is clear from Figure 1 that the optimum IP solution is at the point $(1,1)$. For this problem the solution is unique.
However, there are other possible situations.
(a) There may be more than one solution; e.g., if the objective function in the above problem were changed to $x_{1}+x_{2}$, both $(1,1)$ and $(2,0)$ would be IP solutions.
(b) The feasible region may contain no points with integer coordinates, e.g., if an additional constraint

$$
3 x_{1} \leq 2
$$

were added to the above problem.
(c) There may be no feasible region, e.g., if an additional constraint

$$
x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 1
$$

were added to the above problem.
(d) The objective function may have no finite minimum within the feasible region; this means that the feasible region is unbounded in the direction of decreasing values of the objective function, e.g., if the constraints

$$
4 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \geq 5, \quad x_{1} \geq 0, \quad x_{2} \geq 0
$$

were deleted from the above problem.


Figure 1
Algorithms for IP problems are usually based on algorithms for general LP problems, together with some procedure for constructing additional constraints which exclude noninteger solutions (see Beale (1977)).

The Branch and Bound $(B \& B)$ method is a well-known and widely used technique for solving IP problems (see Beale (1977) or Mitra (1973)). It involves subdividing the optimum solution to the original LP problem into two mutually exclusive sub-problems by branching an integer variable that currently has a fractional optimal value. Each sub-problem can now be solved as an LP problem, using the objective function of the original problem. The process of branching continues until a solution for one of the sub-problems is feasible with respect to the integer problem. In order to prove the optimality of this solution, the rest of the sub-problems in the B\&B tree must also be solved. Naturally, if a better integer feasible solution is found for any sub-problem, it should replace the one at hand.
A common method for specifying IP and LP problems in general is the use of the MPSX file format (see IBM (1971)). A full description of this file format is provided in the routine document for H02BUF.

The efficiency in computations is enhanced by discarding inferior sub-problems. These are problems in the $B \& B$ search tree whose LP solutions are lower than (in the case of maximization) the best integer solution at hand.

The $\mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{~B}$ method may also be applied to convex quadratic programming (QP) problems and nonlinear programming (NLP) problems using sequential convex QP approximations.

Routines have been introduced into this chapter to formally apply the technique to dense general QP problems and to sparse LP, QP or NLP problems. Section 2.6 in the E04 Chapter Introduction describes the virtues of having a well-scaled problem. The imposition that a variable be integer makes this more difficult and some practical common sense might be required to make the problem tractable. If a variable is expected to have a large value at the minimum, say 100000 for instance, then in practical terms it might be better to forget the integer constraint and simply round off the final answer. To do otherwise forces a high level of computation accuracy on the underlying optimiser that might be impossible to achieve.

A special type of linear programming problem is the transportation problem in which there are $p \times q$ variables $y_{k l}$ which represent quantities of goods to be transported from each of $p$ sources to each of $q$ destinations.

The problem is to minimize

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{l=1}^{q} c_{k l} y_{k l}
$$

where $c_{k l}$ is the unit cost of transporting from source $k$ to destination $l$. The constraints are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=1}^{q} y_{k l}=A_{k} \quad \text { (availabilities) } \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{p} y_{k l}=B_{l} \quad \text { (requirements) } \\
& y_{k l} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the availabilities must equal the requirements:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{p} A_{k}=\sum_{l=1}^{q} B_{l}=\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{l=1}^{q} y_{k l}
$$

and if all the $A_{k}$ and $B_{l}$ are integers, then so are the optimal $y_{k l}$.
The shortest path problem is that of finding a path of minimum length between two distinct vertices $n_{s}$ and $n_{e}$ through a network. Suppose the vertices in the network are labelled by the integers $1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $(i, j)$ denote an ordered pair of vertices in the network (where $i$ is the origin vertex and $j$ the destination vertex of the arc), $x_{i j}$ the amount of flow in $\operatorname{arc}(i, j)$ and $d_{i j}$ the length of the arc $(i, j)$. The LP formulation of the problem is thus given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{minimize} \quad \sum \sum d_{i j} x_{i j} \text { subject to } A x=b, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if arc } j \text { is directed away from vertex } i \\ -1 & \text { if arc } j \text { is directed towards vertex } i \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
b_{i}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { for } i=n_{s} \\ -1 & \text { for } i=n_{e} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The above formulation only yields a meaningful solution if $x_{i j}=0$ or 1 ; that is, $\operatorname{arc}(i, j)$ forms part of the shortest route only if $x_{i j}=1$. In fact since the optimal LP solution will (in theory) always yield $x_{i j}=0$ or 1 , (1) can also be solved as an IP problem. Note that the problem may also be solved directly (and more efficiently) using a variant of Dijkstra's algorithm (see Ahuja et al. (1993)).

The travelling salesman problem is that of finding a minimum distance route round a given set of cities. In the classical travelling salesman problem the salesperson must visit each city only once before returning to his or her city of origin. It can be formulated as an IP problem in a number of ways. One such formulation is described in Williams (1993). Such IP problems could be solved directly by a mixed integer nonlinear programming solver; however, there are currently no routines in the Library that directly solve such IP problems. However, an acceptable solution to symmetric distance problems may be sought using the probabilistic optimization method known as simulated annealing for which a routine is available. Asymmetric problems can be tackled by the introduction of shadow cities with zero distance between an original city and its shadow. Incomplete problems, where bidirectional travel between each pair of cities is not possible, can be tackled by attributing very large distances to unavailable journeys. For example, a salesperson might not mind backtracking through a previously visited city if this produced the shortest route. This problem is known as the practical travelling salesman problem.
The best $\boldsymbol{n}$ subsets problem assumes a scoring mechanism and a set of $m$ features. The problem is one of choosing the best $n$ subsets of size $p$. It is addressed by two routines in this chapter. The first of these
uses reverse communication; the second direct communication (see Section 3.3.3 in How to Use the NAG Library and its Documentation for a description of the difference between these two conventions).

## 3 Recommendations on Choice and Use of Available Routines

H02BBF solves dense integer programming problems using a branch and bound method.
H02BFF solves dense integer or linear programming problems defined by a MPSX data file.
H02BUF converts an MPSX data file defining an integer or a linear programming problem to the form required by E04MFF/E04MFA or H02BBF.

H02BVF prints the solution to an integer or a linear programming problem using specified names for rows and columns.

H02BZF supplies further information on the optimum solution obtained by H02BBF.
H02CBF solves dense integer general quadratic programming problems.
H02CCF reads optional parameter values for H02CBF from external file.
H02CDF supplies optional parameter values to H02CBF.
H02CEF solves sparse integer linear programming or quadratic programming problems.
H02CFF reads optional parameter values for H02CEF from external file.
H02CGF supplies optional parameter values to H02CEF.
H03ABF solves transportation problems. It uses integer arithmetic throughout and so produces exact results. On a few machines, however, there is a risk of integer overflow without warning, so the integer values in the data should be kept as small as possible by dividing out any common factors from the coefficients of the constraint or objective functions.
H03ADF solves shortest path problems using Dijkstra's algorithm.
H03BBF is a (symmetric) classical travelling salesman problem.
H02BBF, H02BFF and H03ABF treat all matrices as dense and hence are not intended for large sparse problems. For solving large sparse LP problems, use E04NQF or E04UGF/E04UGA.

### 3.1 Transportation Problem

H03ABF solves transportation problems. It uses integer arithmetic throughout and so produces exact results. On a few machines, however, there is a risk of integer overflow without warning, so the integer values in the data should be kept as small as possible by dividing out any common factors from the coefficients of the constraint or objective functions.

### 3.2 Feature Selection - Best Subset Problem

H05AAF selects the best $n$ subsets of size $p$ using a reverse communication branch and bound algorithm.

H05ABF selects the best $n$ subsets of size $p$ using a direct communication branch and bound algorithm.

## 4 Functionality Index

Convert data to arrays for use with H02BBF or E04MFF/E04MFA
H02BUF
Feature selection, best subset,

Given size,
direct communication
H05ABF
reverse communication
H05AAF
Integer programming problem (dense): print solution with specified names. H02BVF
solve LP problem using branch and bound method. ..... H02BBF
solve nonlinear problem SQP ..... H02DAF
solve QP problem using branch and bound method ..... H02CBF
supply further information on the solution obtained from H02BBF ..... H02BZF
Integer programming problem (sparse):
solve LP or QP problem using branch and bound method ..... H02CEF
MPSX data input, defining IP or LP problem, interpret data, optimize and print solution ..... H02BFF
Read optional parameter values from external file for H02CBF ..... H02CCF
Read optional parameter values from external file for H02CEF ..... H02CFF
Service routines,
optional parameter getting routine for use with H02DAF ..... H02ZLF
optional parameter setting routine for use with H02DAF ..... H02ZKF
Shortest path, through directed or undirected network ..... H03ADF
Supply optional parameter values to H02CBF ..... H02CDF
Supply optional parameter values to H02CEF ..... H02CGF
Transportation problem ..... H03ABF
Travelling Salesman Problem, simulated annealing ..... H03BBF
5 Auxiliary Routines Associated with Library Routine Arguments
H02CBU nagf_mip_iqp_dense_dummy_monitSee the description of the argument MONIT in H02CBF.
H02CEY nagf_mip_iqp_sparse_dummy_monitSee the description of the argument MONIT in H02CEF.
H02DDM nagf_mip_sqp_dummy_confunSee the description of the argument CONFUN in H02DAF.
6 Routines Withdrawn or Scheduled for Withdrawal
None.
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